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ABSTRACT
Aim: As part of a global strategy, Pakistan included the Hepatitis B (HB) vaccine in the national Expanded Programme on Immunisation (EPI) in 2004. The aim of this study was to know the status of seroprotection amongst those receiving HB vaccination in the EPI in Pakistan.

Introduction: Hepatitis B vaccination has produced very convincing results in reducing disease burden in the developed world. As per the World Health Organisation (WHO) recommendations, most countries have included HB vaccination in the national EPI schedules. Pakistan included the HB vaccination in the EPI in 2004. There are various factors affecting seroprotection after HB vaccination done in the EPI, for example dosing schedule, maintenance of the cold chain and missing the birth dose, etc. There are no published studies to date regarding seroprotection status and anti-HBs antibodies levels after receiving the HB vaccination in the EPI in Pakistan.

Methods: This study was conducted at the paediatric departments of Military Hospital (MH) and Combined Military Hospital (CMH), Rawalpindi from 1st January 2010 to 31st December 2010. One hundred and ninety-four children ranging from 9 months to 2 years of age, who had received HB vaccination according to the EPI schedule, were included. Blood samples were taken and tested for anti–HBs antibody levels by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) at the Department of Biochemistry of Army Medical College, Rawalpindi. Anti–HBs antibody titres >10 IU/L was taken as seroprotection level as per WHO and kit manufacturers' standards.

Results: Out of 194 children, 133 (68.6%) had anti–HBs titres > 10 IU/L (seroprotected) while 61 (31.4%) had anti–HBs titres <10 IU/L (non-protected). GMT achieved among seroprotected vaccine recipients was 85.81 IU/L. One hundred and twenty-nine were male children and of them 95 (77.6%) had a protective level and 34 (26.4%) were non-protected. GMT achieved among seroprotected vaccine recipients was 85.81 IU/L. One hundred and eighty-four children ranged from 9 months to 2 years of age, who had received HB vaccination in EPI centres in Pakistan.

Conclusion: Seroprotection achieved after HB vaccination received in the EPI at 6, 10 and 14 weeks in combination vaccination form was 68.6%. This is lower as compared to results reported internationally. Geometric mean titre (GMT) levels achieved in seroprotected vaccine recipients are also low (85.81 IU/L) when compared with international data. There is a need to look into relevant aspects of HB vaccination in the EPI to improve seroprotection in future.
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Introduction
Hepatitis B (HB) is a major disease and is a serious global public health problem. About 2 billion people (latest figures so far by WHO) are infected with the hepatitis B virus (HBV) all over the world. Interestingly, rates of new infection and acute disease are highest among adults, but chronic infection is more likely to occur in persons infected as infants or young children, which leads to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma in later life. More than 350 million persons are reported to have chronic infection globally at present4,5. These chronically infected people are at high risk of death from cirrhosis and liver cancer. This virus kills about 1 million persons each year. For a newborn infant whose mother is positive for both HB surface antigen (HBsAg) and HB e antigen (HBeAg), the risk of chronic HB Virus (HBV) infection is 70% - 90% by the age of 6 months in the absence of post-exposure immunoprophylaxis6.

HB vaccination is the only effective measure to prevent HBV infection and its consequences. Since its introduction in 1982, recommendations for HB vaccination have evolved into a comprehensive strategy to eliminate HBV transmission globally4. In the United States during 1990–2004, the overall incidence of reported acute HB declined by 75%, from 8.5 to 2.1 per 100,000 population. The most dramatic decline occurred in children and adolescents. Incidence among children aged <12 years and adolescents aged 12-19 years declined by 94% from 1.1 to 0.36 and 6.1 to 2.8 per 100,000 population, respectively4,5.

Population of countries with intermediate and high endemicity rates are at high risk of acquiring HB infection. Pakistan lies in an intermediate endemic region with a prevalence of 3-4% in the general population6. WHO has included the HB vaccine in the Expanded Programme on Immunisation (EPI) globally...
1) Inclusion Criteria

- Children who did not have proper vaccination records endorsed in their vaccination cards.
- Interval between last dose of HBV and sampling was <1 month.
- Children suffering from acute illness at time of sampling.

2) Interval between last dose of HBV and sampling was <1 month.

3) Exclusion Criteria

- Children who did not have proper vaccination records endorsed in their vaccination cards.
- Interval between last dose of HBV and sampling was <1 month.
- Children suffering from acute illness at time of sampling.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted at vaccinations centres and paediatrics OPDs (Outpatient Departments) of CMH and MH, Rawalpindi, Pakistan. Children reporting for measles vaccination at vaccination centres at 9 months of age were included. Their vaccination cards were examined and ensured that they had received 3 doses of HBV vaccine according to the EPI schedule, duly endorsed in their cards. They included mainly children of soldiers but some civilians also who were invited for EPI vaccination at the MH vaccination centre. Children of officers were similarly included from the CMH vaccination centre and vaccination record was ensured by examining their vaccination cards. Some civilians who received private HBV vaccination were included from paediatric OPDs. Some children beyond 9 months and less than 2 years of age who reported for non-febrile minor illnesses in the paediatric OPD at CMH and MH, were also included and their vaccination status was confirmed by examining their vaccination cards.

Inclusion Criteria

1) Male and female children >9 months and <2 years of age.
2) Children who had received 3 doses of HBV according to the EPI schedule, duly endorsed in their cards. They included mainly children of soldiers but some civilians also who were invited for EPI vaccination at the MH vaccination centre. Children of officers were similarly included from the CMH vaccination centre and vaccination record was ensured by examining their vaccination cards. Some civilians who received private HBV vaccination were included from paediatric OPDs. Some children beyond 9 months and less than 2 years of age who reported for non-febrile minor illnesses in the paediatric OPD at CMH and MH, were also included and their vaccination status was confirmed by examining their vaccination cards.

Exclusion Criteria

1) Children who did not have proper vaccination records endorsed in their vaccination cards.
2) Interval between last dose of HBV and sampling was <1 month.
3) Children suffering from acute illness at time of sampling.
4) Children suffering from chronic illness or on immunosuppressive drugs.

Inform consent for blood sample collection was obtained from the parents or guardians. The study and the informed consent form was approved by the institutional ethical review board. Participants were informed about results of HBs antibody screening. After proper antiseptic measures, blood samples (3.5 ml) were obtained by venepuncture. Autodisposable syringes were used. Collected blood samples were taken in vacutainers and labelled by identification number and name of child. Samples were immediately transported to the Biochemistry Department of Army Medical College. Samples were kept upright for half an hour and then centrifuged for 10 minutes. Supernatant serum was separated and stored at -20 °C in 1.5 ml eppendorf tubes till the test was performed. Samples were tested using ELISA (DiaSorin S.p.A Italy kit) for detection of anti-HBs antibodies according to manufacturers’ instructions. The diagnostic specificity of this kit is 98.21% (95% confidence interval 97.07-99.00%) and diagnostic sensitivity is 99.11% (95% confidence interval 98.18-99.64%) as claimed by the manufacturer. Anti-HBs antibody enumeration was done after all 3 doses of vaccination (at least 1 month after the last dose was received).

As per WHO standards, anti-HBs antibody titre of >10 IU/L is taken as protective and samples showing antibody titre <10 IU/L were considered as non-protected. Samples having antibody titre >10 IU/L were taken as seroprotected against HB infection. All relevant information was entered in a predesigned data sheet and used accordingly at the time of analysis. Items entered included age, gender, place of vaccination, type of vaccination (private or government procured), number of doses and entitlement status (dependent of military personnel or civilian). The study was conducted from 1st January 2010 to 31st Dec 2010.

Statistical Analysis

Data was analysed using SPSS version 15. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the data, i.e. mean and standard deviation (SD) for quantitative variables, while frequency and percentages were used for qualitative. Quantitative variables were compared through independent samples’ t-test and qualitative variables were compared through the chi-square test between both the groups. A P-value <0.05 was considered as significant.

The mean age of the children was 13.7 months. The overall frequency of children with titles <10 IU/L was 61 (31.4%) while frequency of children with titles >10 IU/L was 133 (68.6%). Geometric mean titres (GMT) were 85.81 for the seroprotected (>10 IU/L) category.

Results

One hundred and ninety-four children, who had received HB vaccination according to EPI schedule, were tested for anti-HBs
titres. Out of them 61 (31.4%) had anti-HBs titres less than 10 IU/L (non-protective level) while 133 (68.6%) had anti-HBs titres above 10 IU/L (protective level) as shown in Figure 1. The GMT of anti-HBs among the individuals having protective levels (> 10 IU/L) was found to be 85.81 IU/L.

Figure 1

![Graph showing anti-HBs titres](image)

Figure 1 shows that anti-HBs titres between 10–100 IU/L was found in 75 (50.4%) children. Twenty-six (19.5%) individuals had titres between 100–200 IU/L, Twenty (14%) children had titres between 200–500 IU/L, 20 (14%) had titres between 500–1000 IU/L and only 2 (1.5%) children had anti-HBs titres > 1000 IU/L.

Figure 2
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One hundred and eighty-four children received vaccination supplied by government sources (Quinevaxem by Novartis) out of which 61 (33.1%) had anti-HBs titres <10 IU/L (non-protective) and 123 (66.9%) had anti-HBs titres >10 IU/L (protective). Comparison between the two groups revealed the difference to be significant (P value= 0.028).

One hundred and thirty-two children received vaccination from army health facilities (CMH and MH) out of which 36 (27.3%) had anti-HBs titres <10 IU/L while 96 (72.7%) had anti-HBs titres >10 IU/L. The difference was insignificant (P value= 0.068). Gender analysis revealed that in the study group 129 (68.5%) were male children. Out of them 34 (26.4%) had anti-HBs titres <10 IU/L and 95 (73.6%) had anti-HBs titres >10 IU/L. Sixty-five (31.5%) were female children and out of them 27 (41.5%) had anti-HBs titres <10 IU/L while 38 (58.5%) had anti-HBs titres > 10 IU/L. Statistical analysis revealed the difference between males and females was significant (P value= 0.032).

One hundred and twenty-two (62.9%) children were less than 1 year of age. Out of them 37 (30.3%) had anti-HBs titres <10 IU/L and 85 (69.7%) had anti-HBs titres >10 IU/L. Seventy-two (37.1%) children ranged between 1 to 2 years of age. Out of them 24 (33.3%) had anti-HBs titres <10 IU/L while 48 (66.7%) had anti-HBs titres >10 IU/L. On comparison the difference between the two groups was insignificant (P value= 0.663), as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient characteristics</th>
<th>Anti-HBs titres (&lt;10 IU/L) (n = 61)</th>
<th>Anti-HBs titres (&gt;10 IU/L) (n = 133)</th>
<th>P-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1year (n = 122)</td>
<td>37 (50.0%)</td>
<td>85 (69.7%)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1year (n = 72)</td>
<td>24 (33.3%)</td>
<td>48 (66.7%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n = 129)</td>
<td>34 (26.4%)</td>
<td>95 (73.6%)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n = 65)</td>
<td>27 (41.5%)</td>
<td>38 (58.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army (n = 132)</td>
<td>36 (27.3%)</td>
<td>96 (72.7%)</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civilian (n = 62)</td>
<td>25 (40.3%)</td>
<td>37 (59.7%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government (n = 184)</td>
<td>61 (33.2%)</td>
<td>123 (66.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private (n = 10)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>10 (100%)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 (NS = Insignificant; * = Significant)

Discussion

HB is a global health problem with variable prevalence in different parts of the world. Various studies carried out in different parts of Pakistan in different groups of population have shown diverse figures regarding prevalence of HB. However, a figure of 2-4% is accepted as general consensus by and large, thus making Pakistan an area of intermediate endemicity for HB. Yet when we extrapolate these figures to
our population, it is estimated that Pakistan hosts about seven million carriers of HB which is about 5% of the worldwide 350 million carriers of HB\textsuperscript{10,11}.

Age at the time of infection plays the most important role in acquisition of acute or chronic HBV disease. HBV infection acquired in infancy is responsible for a very high risk of chronic liver disease due to HBV in later life\textsuperscript{12}. HB is a preventable disease and fortunately vaccination at birth and during infancy can eradicate the disease globally, if vaccination strategy is effectively implemented\textsuperscript{13}. This can be claimed as the first anti-cancer vaccine which prevents hepatocellular carcinoma in later life.

In Pakistan, the HB vaccine was included in the EPI in 2004, given along with DPT (Diphtheria, Pertussis, Tetanus) at 6, 10 and 14 weeks of age. The vaccine is provided through government health infrastructure to health facilities. Private HB vaccines supplied as a single antigen or in combination vaccines are also available in the market. The efficacy of these recombinant vaccines is claimed to be more than 95% among children and 90% among normal healthy adults\textsuperscript{14}. The immunity of the HB vaccination is directly measured by development of anti-HBs antibodies more than 10 IU/L, which is considered as a protective level\textsuperscript{15}. However, it is estimated that 5–15% of vaccine recipients may not develop this protective level and remain non-responders due to undermentioned reasons.\textsuperscript{16} Published studies regarding antibody development in relation to various factors in terms of immunogenicity and seroprotection, show highly varied results. Multiple factors like dose, dosing schedules, sex, storage, site and route of administration, obesity, genetic factors, diabetes mellitus and immunosupression, affect HB antibodies development response\textsuperscript{17}.

Although the HB vaccine was included in the EPI in 2004 in Pakistan, until now no published data showing seroconversion and seroprotection among vaccine recipients of this programme is available on a national level to our knowledge. Our study has revealed that out of 194 children, only 133 (68.6%) had anti-HBs titres in the protective range (>10 IU/L) while 61 (31.4%) did not develop seroprotection. These results are low as compared to other international studies. A study from Bangladesh among EPI vaccinated children shows a seroprotection rate of 92.2%\textsuperscript{18} while studies from Brazil\textsuperscript{19} and South Africa\textsuperscript{19} have separately reported seroprotection rates of 90.0% and 86.6%, respectively. Studies from Pakistan carried out in adults also show seroprotection rates (anti-HBe titres >10 IU/L) of more than 95% in Karachi University students\textsuperscript{20} and 86% in health care workers of Agha Khan University Hospital\textsuperscript{21}, respectively. However, in these studies the dosing schedule was 0, 1 and 6 months, and participants were adults. These results are consistent with international reports.

The gravity of low seroprotection after HB vaccination is further aggravated when we extrapolate these figures to our overall low vaccination coverage rates of 37.6% to 45% as shown in studies at Peshawar and Karachi respectively\textsuperscript{21,22}. One can imagine a significantly high percentage of individuals vulnerable to HBV infection even after receiving HB vaccine in an extensive national EPI programme. Therefore, a large population still remains exposed to risk of HBV infection, and national and global eradication of HBV infection will remain a dream. Failure of seroprotection after receiving the HBV vaccination in the EPI will also be responsible for projecting a sense of false protection among vaccine recipients.

Dosing schedule is an important factor in the development of an antibody response and titre levels. According to the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) of America, there should be a minimum gap of 8 weeks between the second and third doses and at least 16 weeks between the first and third doses of the HB vaccination\textsuperscript{23}. To minimize frequent visits and improve compliance, the dosing schedule has been negotiated in the EPI to 6, 10 and 14 weeks\textsuperscript{24}. Although some studies have shown this schedule to be effective, the GMT of anti-HBs antibodies achieved was lower than that achieved by the standard WHO schedule\textsuperscript{25}. This may be one explanation of lower rates of seroprotection in our study. The GMT achieved in our study among the children having protective levels of antibodies is 85,81 IU/L which is lower than most other studies. This supports the observation that GMT achieved in this schedule is lower than that produced by the standard WHO schedule. This may result in breakthrough infection of HB in vaccinated individuals in later life due to waning immunity. However, the immune memory hypothesis supports protection of vaccinated individuals in later life in spite of low anti-HBs antibody titres\textsuperscript{26}. Yet further studies are required to dispel this risk.

Another shortcoming of this schedule is to miss the dose at birth (’0 dose’). It has been reported that the 0 dose of the HB vaccine alone is 70%–95% effective as post-exposure prophylaxis in preventing perinatal HBV transmission without giving HB immunoglobulins\textsuperscript{27}. This may also be a factor contributing to lower rates of seroprotection in our study as we have not done HBsAg and other relevant tests to rule out HBV infection in these children. Moreover pregnant ladies by and large are not screened for HBV infection in Pakistan routinely in the public sector except in a few big cities like Islamabad, Lahore or Karachi. Therefore, we do not know the HB status of pregnant mothers and the risk of transmission to babies remains high. Different studies have reported much varied figures of HB status in pregnant ladies. A study from Karachi reports 1.57% pregnant ladies are positive for HBsAg while a study from Rahim Yar Khan reports this figure to be up to 20%\textsuperscript{28,29}. A study by Waheed et al regarding the transmission of HBV infection from mother to infants reports the risk to be up to 90%\textsuperscript{30}. All of these studies support the importance of the birth dose of the HB vaccination and augment the fact that control and eradication of HB with the present EPI schedule is not
possible. Jain from India has reported a study using an alternative schedule of 0, 6 weeks and 9 months. He has reported it to be comparable to the standard WHO schedule of 0, 1, 6 months in regards to seroprotection and GMT levels achieved. This schedule can be synchronised with the EPI schedule, avoiding extra visits and incorporating the birth dose. A similar schedule can also be incorporated in our national EPI.

In our study, seroprotection rates were found to be low in the female gender and the difference was significant. This finding differs with other studies which report lower seroprotection rates in males. Although the number of female children was less, there is no plausible explanation for this observation. The site of inoculation of the HB vaccine is also very important for an adequate immune response. Vaccines given in the buttocks or intradermally produce lower antibody titres than intramuscular injections given in the outer aspect of the thigh in children, due to poor distribution and absorption of the vaccine within the host body. The practice of giving vaccinations in the buttocks by vaccinators is a common observation which they feel convenient for intramuscular injection in children. This may also be one reason for low seroprotection rates in our study, as we picked the children at random who had received vaccination at public health facilities except a small number of private cases.

The effectiveness of the vaccine also depends on the source of procurement and proper maintenance of the cold chain. In this study 100% seroprotection was observed in children who received the HB vaccine procured from a private source. Although the number of private cases was less, this factor of source and the cold chain also needs attention. To address this issue proper training of EPI teams regarding maintenance of temperature, injection techniques, motivation and monitoring can improve outcomes substantially.

The findings of this study are different from published literature because this is a cross-sectional observational study. This reports the actual seroprotection rates after receiving the HB vaccine in the EPI schedule. While most other studies show the results after ensuring control of influencing factors such as type of vaccine, dose, schedule, route of administration, training and monitoring of local EPI teams and health status of vaccine recipients, etc. Therefore, this is an effort to look at a practical scenario and evaluate outcomes which can help in framing future guidelines to achieve the goal of control and eradication of HB infection. Further studies are required at a large scale to determine the effect of HB vaccination at a national level.

Conclusion

The HB vaccination programme has decreased the global burden of HBV infection, but evidence of decreased burden is not uniform amongst world population. Of course figures witness marked decrease in developed world while in developing world statistics show little change. Unfortunately, implementation of this programme is not uniformly effective in all countries, thus reservoirs of infection and the source of continued HBV transmission persists. HBV infection is moderately endemic in Pakistan. The HB vaccine has been included in the national EPI since 2004. The present study shows seroprotection rates of only 68.6% in vaccine recipients, which is low when compared with other studies; 31.4% of vaccine recipients remain unprotected even after vaccination. Moreover GMT achieved in seroprotected vaccine recipients is also low (85.81 IU/L). There can be multiple reasons for these results, such as type of vaccine used, maintenance of the cold chain, route and site of administration, training and monitoring of EPI teams and dosing schedule. In present practice, the very important birth dose is also missing. These observations warrant review of the situation and appropriate measures to be taken to rectify the above mentioned factors, so that desired seroprotection rates after HB vaccination in the EPI can be achieved among vaccine recipients.
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