Community-acquired urinary tract infection in the elderly

Mahesh E, Medha Y, Indumathi V A, Prithvi S Kumar, Mohammed Wasim Khan and Punith K

ABSTRACT

Background: Urinary tract infection (UTI) in the elderly poses a very serious problem. The knowledge of microbiology and antibiotic susceptibility of micro-organisms causing the disease is vital for defining the empirical treatment. There are no large-scale studies on the same from India.

Aim: To find out the common presenting symptomatology and risk factors associated with UTI and distribution of isolated uropathogens and their resistance pattern.

Settings and design: Prospective study done in a tertiary care centre in Bangalore.

Methods and material: The study included elderly patients aged 65 years and above who were admitted, or visited the outpatient departments in the hospital, and had confirmed UTI.

Results and conclusions: Fever (57/194 - 29.4%) and dysuria (52/194 - 26.8%) were the most common symptoms of UTI. Diabetes Mellitus (DM) was the most common risk factor associated with UTI. Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) producing Escherichia coli (E.coli) (93/194 - 47.94%) was the most commonly isolated pathogen. Of the total, 56.2% of the uropathogens showed ESBL positivity. Overall, the highest antibiotic resistance was recorded for Fluoroquinolones (79.9%).
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Introduction

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is the second most common infectious complaint in geriatric clinics overall, and the most common outpatient complaint caused by bacteria. The diagnosis and treatment of UTI in the elderly is not the same as treating UTI in adults. In frail elderly patients with age-associated multiple severe underlying disorders and cognitive impairment, early recognition of bacteraemic UTI and prompt, appropriate treatment are critical in reducing the mortality. Also, the extensive and inappropriate use of antimicrobial agents has invariably resulted in the development of antibiotic resistance which, in recent years, has become a major problem worldwide. The diagnosis and empirical treatment of UTI in the elderly is challenging and a sound knowledge of the prevalent epidemiology of bacteria and their resistance pattern is necessary for the same. However, there is not much information on the aetiology and resistance pattern of UTI in the elderly in India. This study was done to find out the present uropathogen profile causing UTI in our centre and their antibiotic resistance patterns.

Subjects and methods

This prospective study was done at our tertiary care centre from January to December 2008. The study included all patients who were admitted or visited the outpatient departments in the hospital with symptoms of UTI during the study period and had UTI confirmed by positive urine culture reports. Only one sample from each subject was considered. Subjects with clinical symptoms of UTI but no growth on culture were excluded from final analysis. Subjects who were treated with another antimicrobial within the previous 48 hours, or within 24 hours if only a single dose and in the presence of an appropriate positive culture and ileal loops or vesicoureteral reflux were also excluded from the study. Complete data regarding demography, sex preponderance, associated symptoms, pathogenic organisms causing UTI and their antibiotic resistance were collected.

Overall, 194 subjects were included in the study (male: 116, female: 78). The mean age of the study population was 73.54±7.19 years, ranging between the ages of 65 and 96. The distribution of patients according to gender across various age groups is given in table 1. A general trend of more male subject enrolment was seen across all the age groups.

Table 1. Age and gender distribution of complicated and uncomplicated urinary tract infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-84</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85-94</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Isolation and identification of uropathogens

A clean catch midstream specimen, or suprapubic aspirate in subjects who were unable to give the former, was collected in a sterile, wide-mouth, leak-proof container to hold approximately 50ml from these subjects. Using a calibrated loop method of loop diameter 4 mm, 10 µl of the uncentrifuged specimen was transferred onto the agar plate and streak, using the modified Mayo’s technique without flaming the loop for isolation, and
incubated at 35-37°C for 24 hours. A specimen was considered positive for UTI if a single organism was cultured at a concentration of >10^5 Colony Forming Units/ml. The Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms culture isolates were further identified by using various biochemical reactions up to genus/species level wherever applicable.

**Antibiotic sensitivity testing**

In the presence of any potential growth, antibiotic sensitivity testing was done by the Modified Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. The antibiotics tested were Imipenem, Meropenem, Ciprofloxacin, Ofloxacin, Norfloxacin, Amikacin, Gentamicin, Nitrofurantoin and Cotrimoxazole (Pathotech Labs, India).

**Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) detection**

The screening for ESBL was done using Cefpodoxime (<17mm), Cefazidime (<22mm), Aztreonam (<27mm), Cefotaxime (<27mm) and Ceftriaxone (<25mm). If the organisms showed the zone of inhibition lower than the minimum for any antibiotic disc, ESBL positivity was suspected. The phenotypic confirmation was done by testing the strain against Cefazidime (Ca) and Cefazidime/Clavulanic Acid. A > 5mm diameter of the zone of inhibition for Cefazidime/Clavulanic Acid in comparison to Cefazidime was considered indicative of ESBL production. Escherichia coli (E. coli) ATCC 25922 was used as ESBL negative and Klebsiella pneumoniae (K. pneumoniae) 700603 was used as ESBL positive reference strain.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics (totals, means, percentages, and standard deviations) were conducted using the statistical software package - SPSS Version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Age, gender, organisms causing UTI, their antibiotic sensitivity and resistance, symptomatology of these subjects, and risk factors for UTI were included in the analysis and the results presented in tables and figures.

**Results**

Fever (57/194 - 29.4%) and dysuria (52/194 - 26.8%) were common symptoms of most UTI patients (Fig. 1). Diabetes mellitus (DM) and recent uro-genital instrumentation were the most common risk factors associated with UTI in the present study (Table 2). The organism profile and their antibiotic resistance profile were similar in patients with or without DM.

E. coli (138/194 - 71.1%) was the most commonly isolated pathogen responsible for UTI in the present study (Figure 2). 56.2% of the total infection was caused by ESBL positive organisms.

**Discussion**

While increased frequency and dysuria are usual symptoms of UTI, uncertainty looms around the same as these symptoms can be masked by catheterisation, or be common and chronic in the elderly even in the absence of UTI. Fever was the most common symptom of UTI in the present study as with similar studies worldwide. Studies have found that the elderly do...
not lack a febrile response; that an elevated temperature was the most common initial symptom, a marker for a serious infection, and the most important clinical indicator for antibiotic treatment.\(^1\)\(^4\)\(^1\)\(^8\) Whitelaw et al\(^1\)\(^7\) reported that a delay in interpreting fever as a symptom of UTI led to a high mortality rate in the elderly within 24 hours of admission.

Figure 3. Resistance pattern to various antibiotics of the uropathogens

Diabetes is considered as an important risk factor for UTI with many authors having defined UTI in patients with DM as complicated when the UTI is symptomatic.\(^1\)\(^8\)\^-\(^1\)\(^9\) However, the authors did not find that DM influenced the organism profile and their antibiotic resistance in the present study. Bonadio et al\(^2\)\(^0\) studied the influence of DM on the spectrum of uropathogens and antimicrobial resistance in elderly adult patients with asymptomatic UTI (mostly hospital-acquired). They found that DM per se did not seem to influence the isolation rate of different uropathogens and their susceptibility patterns to antimicrobials. These findings indicate that, although DM is a known immunomodulator, the role played by the same in altering the antibiotic resistance is minimal compared to recent invasive procedures.

Although the uropathogen profile of the present study resembles similar studies worldwide, the antibiotic resistance of these organisms was unusually high.\(^2\)\^-\(^2\)\(^1\) Cotrimoxazole is the recommended drug for treating UTI. However, more than one third of the study subjects were resistant to the first-line drug, 79.9% of the uropathogens were resistant to fluoroquinolones, which are considered as the second-line drug. As prior fluoroquinolone use is a known risk factor for fluoroquinolone-resistant E. coli infection, it is plausible that frequent fluoroquinolone prescriptions may be contributing to the observed resistance.\(^2\)\(^2\)\^-\(^2\)\(^3\) Aypak et al\(^2\)\(^4\) found that treatment durations were statistically longer than the recommended three-day course when patients were empirically treated with fluoroquinolones due to increased resistance rates, and suggested to discourage the empirical use of fluoroquinolones in UTI.

The most troublesome finding of the present study is that ESBL-positive organisms accounted for 56.2% of the total infection. Not much information on ESBL-producing organisms causing UTI is available from India and most of these reports are from the younger population. The prevalence of ESBL-positive UTI in these studies varied between 26.6% and 48.3%.\(^2\)\(^5\)\^-\(^2\)\(^6\) To the best of our knowledge, this is the highest ever reported prevalence of ESBL-positive UTI in the elderly worldwide. ESBL-producing organisms are frequently resistant to many of the antimicrobial agents usually recommended for the treatment. As lesser new antibiotics are available for their management, we need to be concerned of this issue in years to come especially in tertiary care centres. A unified antibiotic protocol is necessary to limit the morbidity and mortality associated with inappropriate and undertreatment of UTI.

The limitations of the present study were that altered mental status was not considered as one of the clinical manifestations of UTI in the elderly, which could have mitigated the total number of study subjects included in the study. In addition, the phenotypic confirmation of ESBL-positive organisms was done using only Ceftazidime/Clavulanic Acid and not Cefotaxime/Clavulanic Acid as per the latest CLSI guidelines. As a result, there may be under-reporting of the incidence of ESBL organisms in the present study.

In conclusion, we report a significantly high resistance to common antibiotics among the uropathogens in the present study. Furthermore, the very high rate of ESBL-positive UTI is of concern, and monitoring for the same is necessary to prevent treatment failure and increased morbidity and mortality with UTI.
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