The Foundation Programme details the first two years of training for UK doctors in the UK. Thereafter, trainees are expected to apply for highly competitive specialist training posts. Our study aimed to clarify and quantify the educational activities currently used by Foundation doctors during this two year period, and to assess their motivational and deterring factors towards such educational activities.

**Method:** A fourteen point questionnaire was posted at random to 100 Foundation Year 1 and 2 (50 FY1 and 50 FY2) doctors across five Trent Deanery hospitals. The questionnaire assessed involvement in the following voluntary educational activities: courses, conferences, higher qualifications, e-learning packages and personal reading. It also sought their underlying attitudes.

**Results:** Response rate was 49.0% (49/100), comprising 34 (68%) FY1 and 15 (30%) FY2. Overall 89.8% of respondents engaged in voluntary educational activities. The most common (89.8%) was the e-learning package (FY1 85.3%, FY2 100%) followed by society membership (73.5% (FY1 64.7%, FY2 93.3%), courses (69.4%) (FY1 55.0%, FY2 100%), sitting higher qualifications (36.7%) (FY1 14.7%, FY2 86.7%) and attending conferences (14.3%) (FY1 14.7%, FY2 13.3%). The mean total cost incurred by doctors for these activities was £581 in FY1 and £1842 in FY2.

The most common deterrents to pursuing voluntary education were a lack of study leave (42.9%) (FY1 38.2%, FY2 53.3%), lack of annual leave (22.4%) (FY1 23.5% FY2 20.0%) and expense (20.4%) (FY1 17.6%, FY2 26.7%).

The most common motivating factor was the belief they would help candidates achieve a specialist training post (67.3%) (FY1 58.8%, FY2 86.7%). Only 8.2% (FY1 11.8%, FY2 0.0%) engaged primarily to improve their medical competence.

**Discussion:** Our study is the first to quantify the voluntary educational activities of Foundation doctors. Most popular are e-learning packages — outstripping courses, higher qualification revision and conferences — highlighting their increasing popularity as a viable and accessible educational tool. The primary deterrent to pursuing voluntary educational activities is lack of study leave, of concern as entitlements to this continue to decrease. Interestingly, Foundation doctors are not motivated primarily by the educational benefits of these activities, but rather by their perceived ability to help attain a specialist training post. This highlights the concerning potential for voluntary educational activities to become a badge of attendance, undermining their intrinsic educational value and outcome.

We aimed, therefore, to determine firstly what voluntary educational activities Foundation doctors are undertaking. We also aimed to establish their underlying motivating and deterring factors, financial costs incurred, and use of annual and study leave and ‘specialty taster days’, to assess the overall extent and impact of portfolio activities. The authors hope the results are useful in informing medical students and Foundation trainees of the scope of activities of their peers, and in advising supervisors of the activities of their trainees.

**Methods**

A two page anonymous questionnaire was posted at random to 100 Foundation doctors across five hospitals in East Midlands Deanery (50 Foundation Year 1, 50 Foundation Year 2). See Appendix 1

**Demographics**

The first section of the questionnaire asked for the sex and grade of respondents (Foundation Year 1 (FY1), or Foundation Year 2 (FY2))

**Activities**

Respondents were directly asked whether they were attending courses or conferences, using on-line e-learning packages,
joining professional bodies/societies or sitting higher professional examinations such as royal college membership examinations/higher degrees.

Cost

Doctors were asked how much money (excluding that of teaching allowances) and days of annual leave they used on the above activities. They were also asked how many of their allowed ‘specialty taster days’ they had taken during each year.

Motivating and deterring factors

Doctors were asked to rank from a list the motivating and deterring factors determining what activities they were undertaking.

Professional development

Doctors were finally asked to rank which educational activities they thought would make them a better overall Foundation doctor.

Results

Response rate was 49% with 49 doctors returning the questionnaire. Of these 69.4% (n=34) were Foundation Year 1 (FY1) and 30.6% (n=15) were Foundation Year 2 (FY2), with 53.1% female and 46.9% male.

Activities

Overall 89.8% (n=44) of respondents were engaged in voluntary educational activity (FY1 85.3%, FY2 100%). The most common mode (89.8%, n=44) was e-learning packages (FY1 85.3% (n=29), FY2 100% (n=15)) followed by joining/becoming a member of professional bodies or societies ie BMA etc (73.5%, n=36) (FY1 64.7% (n=22), FY2 93.3% (n=14)), followed by courses (69.4%, n=34) (FY1 55.9% (n=19), FY2 100% (n=15)), undertaking higher qualifications (36.7%) (FY1 14.7% (n=5), FY2 86.7% (n=13)) and attending conferences (14.3%) (FY1 14.7% (n=5), FY2 13.3% (n=2))– See figure 1.

Cost

The mean amount spent by Foundation Year 1 Doctors on these activities was £581 (range £0 - £3100) Foundation Year 2 Doctors spent significantly more at £1842 (range £0 - £3500). The mean cost per activity is shown in figure 2.

Motivating and deterring factors

The most common factor motivating Foundation doctors to undertake portfolio educational activities was the belief they would help candidates achieve a specialist training post (67.3%). Only 12.2% engaged primarily out of personal interest with 8.2% to improve their medical competence (See Table 1). The most common deterrents were a lack of study leave (42.9%), lack of annual leave (22.4%) and expense (20.4%) (See Table 2).

Professional development

The final section of the questionnaire asked respondents which educational activity they felt was most influential in making them a better Foundation doctor. Interestingly 83.7% (n=41)(FY1 88.2% (n=30), FY2 73.3%( n=11)) felt on-call experience was most influential, with only 6.1% (FY1 2.9%
(n=1), FY2 13.3% (n=2)) citing courses, 6.1% (FY1 2.9% (n=1), FY2 13.3% (n=2)) e-learning packages and 4.1% (FY1 2.9% (n=1), FY2 6.7% (n=1)) qualifications (Fig 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Motivating Factor</th>
<th>FY1 Doctors</th>
<th>FY2 Doctors</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve chance of specialist training post</td>
<td>58.8 20</td>
<td>86.7 13</td>
<td>67.3 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal interest</td>
<td>14.7 5</td>
<td>6.7 1</td>
<td>12.2 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To improve medical competencies</td>
<td>11.8 4</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>8.2 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On advice of seniors</td>
<td>11.8 4</td>
<td>6.7 1</td>
<td>10.2 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.9 1</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>100 34</td>
<td>100 15</td>
<td>100 49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 – A table to show the primary motivating factors of foundation doctors to undertake voluntary portfolio educational activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Deterring Factor</th>
<th>FY1 Doctors</th>
<th>FY2 Doctors</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of study leave</td>
<td>38.2 13</td>
<td>53.3 8</td>
<td>42.9 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of annual leave</td>
<td>23.5 8</td>
<td>20 3</td>
<td>22.4 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial expense</td>
<td>17.6 6</td>
<td>26.7 4</td>
<td>20.4 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of career choice</td>
<td>11.8 4</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>8.2 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not relevant to Foundation doctors</td>
<td>8.8 3</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>6.1 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>100 34</td>
<td>100 15</td>
<td>100 49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – A table to show the primary deterring factors listed by foundation doctors that deter them from undertaking voluntary educational portfolio activities.

Professional development

The final section of the questionnaire asked respondents which educational activity they felt was most influential in making them a better Foundation doctor. Interestingly 83.7% (n=41)(FY1 88.2% (n=30), FY2 73.3% (n=11)) felt on-call experience was most influential, with only 6.1% (FY1 2.9% (n=1), FY2 13.3% (n=2)) citing courses, 6.1% (FY1 2.9% (n=1), FY2 13.3% (n=2)) e-learning packages and 4.1% (FY1 2.9% (n=1), FY2 6.7% (n=1)) qualifications (Fig 3).

The academic conference was ranked least influential by 89.8% (n=44) (FY1 85.3% (n=29), FY2 100% (n=15)) of respondents, followed by 6.1% (n=3) (FY1 8.8% (n=3), FY2 0.0% (n=0)) citing courses, and 4.8% (FY1 5.8% (n=2), FY2 0.0% (n=0)) e-learning packages (Fig 3).

Discussion

This survey suggests that Foundation doctors undertake numerous activities at significant personal expense to expand their portfolios, and are primarily motivated by a belief that this will increase their chance of obtaining higher specialist training posts.

Educational activities and opportunities

The advent of the European Working Time Directive and New Deal document have resulted in junior doctors working considerably fewer hours than in previous years. This has led some authors to conclude that the quality of learning opportunities in the working environment has reduced. With 89.8% of Foundation doctors in this survey actively undertaking some form of educational activity outside of work, this suggests that Foundation doctors may be going some way to re-dressing this balance. It may also come as a surprising yet reassuring figure to Foundation Programme educational supervisors who may be unaware of the education of their trainees outside of work.

We found the most popular mode of educational activity to be the e-learning package. E-learning is an effective and extensively employed method for both distance learning, and as an adjunct to “traditional” lecture-based techniques across several disciplines. It has also been shown to be a well received and practical method of supplementary education for doctors and our study suggests this is particularly true for the Foundation years. The reasons why e-learning is popular in this group was not explored, but its low cost, easily accessible and modular nature may have some part to play. As medical schools continue to utilise this modality to a greater extent, its follow-through into the Foundation years and postgraduate medical education in general is inevitable. With such high uptake, e-learning packages are a promising format for delivering education to this group.

Popular courses undertaken by Foundation doctors related to obtaining teaching skills, or advanced life support. This suggests that Foundation doctors place a high emphasis on teaching and training, and on recognising and managing acutely ill patients. These are two core objectives of the Foundation Programme. However, one could also argue that doctors undertaking courses outside work to achieve essential competencies casts doubt on the ability of the Foundation Programme to deliver them. We
submit that educational supervisors are in a prime position to appraise this issue.

The least popular mode of activity in our survey was the attendance of a medical conference. It was also regarded as least influential by 89.7% of respondents. There is a global shortage of medical academics⁶, and as conferences serve to introduce junior doctors to academic medicine and research, perhaps academic doctors should take a more prominent role in promoting conferences as an educational activity.

**Time and money**

Doctors incur the majority of their costs attending courses with Foundation Year 1 and 2 doctors spending £365 and £1120 respectively on this area (fig 2). This highlights the possibility that Foundation doctors may be prone to financial exploitation by a growing number of courses which are often unvalidated. As senior advice was the primary motivating factor for only 10.2% of activities, this suggests that educational supervisors could play a greater role in assessing, appraising and advising their trainees on the courses best suited for them and their professional development.

The overall financial cost incurred for all portfolio educational activities was £581 for FY1 and £1842 for FY2. Whilst previous estimates have been made in this area, this is the first specific to the Foundation Programme and to include non-mandatory outlay, and represents 3% and 7% of the basic salary for FY1 and FY2 doctors before tax. As our survey found financial expense to be a significant deterrent to portfolio activity (20.4% of respondents), a potentially serious implication is that expense will limit the uptake of postgraduate education in the future. From the authors’ own experience such professional costs are not explained to medical students and that this issue merits more attention in undergraduate education.

A lack of study leave was highlighted as the main deterring factors to educational portfolio activities (42.9%). This is of particular interest as only 20.4% of Foundation doctors use their full ‘taster-day’ entitlement. These ‘taster days’ are a fundamental aspect of the Foundation Programme, offering doctors the opportunity to explore a specialty for up to five days per year. However, whilst doctors fail to utilise them, they take an average of 8.1 days’ annual leave over the two year programme for educational purposes.

The reasons behind this are unclear, but may be due to a lack of awareness of these ‘taster days’. With a lack of study leave hindering educational activities, a potential solution might be for doctors to have the option to utilise ‘taster days’ as a form of study leave.

**Professional education and motivation**

Between 1998 and 2005, the number of medical students in the UK has risen by 57%⁷. Increasing numbers of doctors and decreasing working hours may reduce the amount of on-call experience for those in the Foundation Programme. However, it is this on-call experience that is regarded by the vast majority (83.7% in this study) as the most important educational modality in making them a better foundation doctor. Although time and money are perceived as barriers to portfolio educational activities it appears that doctors value this on-call experience above all. With key aims of the Foundation Programme being training and emergency competence, efforts must be made to preserve this experience.

Whilst Foundation doctors are engaging in numerous portfolio activities, their underlying motivations are interesting. It appears this group are primarily motivated not by the educational benefits of these activities, but rather by their perceived ability to help attain a specialist training post. This could suggest that the educational portfolio is at risk of becoming a ‘tick-box’ means for career progression, rather than addressing limitations, exploring interests and aspiring to clinical excellence. This contrasts with the conclusions of the most recent assessment of postgraduate medical education in the UK⁸.

As competition for jobs appears to be driving Foundation doctors to undertake educational activities it remains unclear whether engaging in these activities to obtain jobs, rather than competencies, reduces their validity and educational outcomes. Furthermore it is unclear whether trainees will be more likely to achieve their overriding aim of obtaining a specialist training post through these activities. Determining the career outcomes of doctors undertaking these activities will provide an evidence base, allowing educational supervisors to optimally advise their trainee in portfolio educational activities.

**Conclusions**

This is a baseline survey quantifying portfolio educational activities in the Foundation Programme, applicable to trainees and supervisors alike. Whilst the latter are well aware of assessments such as DOPS (Direct Observation of Procedural Skills) and ChD’s (Case-based Discussions), they are often less aware of the voluntary educational activities of their trainees.

Our study would suggest that Foundation Programme doctors are a cohort driven to undertake numerous voluntary educational activities, albeit largely to achieve career progression rather than accrue educational benefit. To this end they undertake activities such as e-learning, courses and higher qualifications at the expense of conferences. For this they spend significant amounts of money and leave, yet continue to site a lack of traditional study leave as a barrier to further educational development. The authors would suggest that further work is needed to develop the role of educational supervisors in the Foundation Programme in harnessing the motivation of their trainees, and guiding them appropriately.
Key Points

- Foundation Doctors spend significant amounts of time and money on voluntary educational activities.
- Foundation Doctors are primarily driven to undertake these activities due to the belief that it will help them obtain specialist training posts.
- A lack of study leave is the primary barrier to voluntary education.
- The academic medical conference is viewed as the activity least likely to improve medical competence, whereas on-call experience is regarded as the most likely.
- Foundation Programme educational supervisors are best placed to guide their trainees towards the most appropriate educational modalities
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Appendix 1
Educational Activities in the Foundation Programme – Questionnaire

What is your current grade (F1 or F2)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

What sex are you?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1) Which of the following educational activities have you undertaken as an F1/F2 Doctor outside of that deemed mandatory by your employing deanery or hospital?

- Completed Online/e-learning packages

   Which?

   What was the personal financial cost to you?

   Attended courses (eg ATLS, ALS etc)

   Which?

   What was the personal financial cost to you?

   Attended local, regional or national conferences

   Which?

   What was the personal financial cost to you?

   Attempted higher academic qualifications eg MRCP, MRCS exams

   Which?

   What was the personal financial cost to you?

   Joined any professional bodies or societies

   Which?

   What was the personal financial cost to you?

2) From the list below, please choose the option that has most strongly motivated you to undertake the activities you have outlined in question 1.

   To further my personal knowledge/interest in an area

   To improve my overall ability/achieve my competencies as Foundation Doctor

   To increase my chance of obtaining a specialist training (ST1) post in my chosen area

   I have been advised to undertake certain activities by seniors

   Free text/other

What was the personal financial cost to you?

Which?

What was the personal financial cost to you?

What was the personal financial cost to you?

What was the personal financial cost to you?

3) From the list below, please choose the main reason why you have not engaged in further educational activities to those you listed in question 1.

   Due to financial expense

   There is a lack of study leave

   I am still not decided on a firm career choice

   I don’t think these activities are needed by Foundation doctors

   Other

4) From the list of choices below, please mark which activity you feel will most improve your overall ability as a foundation doctor, and which you feel will have the least effect? (Please respond with ‘M’ for most and ‘L’ for least)

   Attendance courses (eg ATLS, ALS etc)

   Attending local, regional or national conferences

   Sitting higher academic qualifications eg MRCP, MRCS exams

   On call in hospital experience

5) How many of your allowed specialty taster days have you used this year?

6) How many days of annual leave/holiday have you used this year to undertake voluntary educational activities?

Completed Online/e-learning packages

From the list below, please choose the option that has most strongly motivated

From the list below, please choose the main reason why you have not engaged

From the list below, please mark which activity you feel will most improve your overall ability as a foundation doctor, and which you feel will have the least effect?
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